| 1  | A. JOHN P. MANCINI (pro hac vice) MAYER BROWN LLP  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | 1675 Broadway<br>New York, NY 10019  |  |  |
| 3  | Telephone: (212) 506-2500  |  |  |
| 4  | Facsimile: (212) 262-1910<br>Email: jmancini@mayerbrown.com  |  |  |
| 5  | DAVID H. KRAMER, State Bar No. 168452<br>WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  |  |  |
| 6  | 650 Page Mill Road   |  |  |
| 7  | Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050<br>Telephone: (650) 493-9300  |  |  |
|  | Facsimile: (650) 565-5100  |  |  |
| 8  | Email: dkramer@wsgr.com Email: mrubin@wsgr.com   |  |  |
| 9  | -  |  |  |
| 10   | RICHARD B. KENDALL, State Bar No. 90072<br>PHILIP M. KELLY, State Bar No. 212174   |  |  |
| 11   | KENDALL BRILL & KLIEGER LLP<br>10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1725  |  |  |
|  | Los Angeles, California 90067  |  |  |
| 12   | Tel.: (310) 556-2700<br>Fax: (310) 556-2705  |  |  |
| 13   | Email: rkendall@kbkfirm.com  |  |  |
| 14   | Email: pkelly@kbkfirm.com  |  |  |
| ٠.   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |  |
|  | CHILDSINIESD   | ISTRICT COURT  |  |
| 15   | NORTHERN DISTRIC   |  |  |
| 15<br>16   | NORTHERN DISTRIC   | CT OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
|  |  | CT OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
| l6<br>l7   | NORTHERN DISTRIC<br>SAN JOSE I   | CT OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18   | NORTHERN DISTRIC   | CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  |  |
| l6<br>l7   | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE I IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC.  | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18   | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE I IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al.,   | CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19   | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE I  IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs,  | CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS  (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I:07-cv-021 03 |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                               | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE I  IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v.   | CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                         | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al.,   | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                               | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants.   | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                         | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, V. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER  | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23                   | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, V. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al.,                                      | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25       | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs,  V. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al., Plaintiffs,                         | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26 | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al., Plaintiffs, v.                       | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25 | NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC. et al., | CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)  STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX   |  |

| 1  | WHEREAS on September 27, 2007, YouTube, Inc., YouTube, LLC and Google Inc.,                        |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | (collectively, "YouTube" or "Defendants") issued a non-party subpoena ("Subpoena") from this       |  |
| 3  | Court to BayTSP, Inc. ("BayTSP") in connection with civil actions filed by Viacom                  |  |
| 4  | International, Inc. et al. and The Football Association Premier League, et al. against YouTube,    |  |
| 5  | Inc. et al. in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case Nos. I |  |
| 6  | :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM) and I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM), respectively (collectively, "SDNY           |  |
| 7  | Actions"). The Subpoena sought documents relating to BayTSP's clients, including Viacom-           |  |
| 8  | related clients and non-Viacom-related clients;  |  |
| 9  | WHEREAS BayTSP objected to the Subpoena on various grounds and YouTube moved                       |  |
| 10 | to compel BayTSP's compliance with the Subpoena. United States Magistrate Judge Patricia           |  |
| 11 | Trumbull granted YouTube's motion to compel and ordered that BayTSP produce "documents             |  |
| 12 | related to the Viacom-related entities no later than March 6, 2009" and "documents related to the  |  |
| 13 | non-Viacom related entities no later than July 15, 2009";  |  |
| 14 | WHEREAS BayTSP objected to the Magistrate Judge's order and the District Court, and                |  |
| 15 | Honorable Jeremy Fogel, overruled BayTSP's objection on April 24, 2009;                            |  |
| 16 | WHEREAS BayTSP has largely completed production of documents related to the                        |  |
| 17 | Viacom-related entities and will make a supplemental production on or about September 15           |  |
| 18 | 2009;  |  |
| 19 | WHEREAS BayTSP and YouTube previously stipulated to extending the deadline for                     |  |
| 20 | BayTSP to produce documents related to the non-Viacom related entities from July 15, 2009 to       |  |
| 21 | September 15, 2009;  |  |
| 22 | WHEREAS the parties retained an electronic discovery vendor to collect and process for             |  |
| 23 | review the BayTSP source data;   |  |
| 24 | WHEREAS BayTSP and YouTube reached agreement on the final search term list on                      |  |
| 25 | September 11, 2009 to target responsive non-Viacom related documents, and the electronic           |  |
| 26 | discovery vendor is processing responsive documents to create a database for reviewing the         |  |
| 27 | documents;   |  |
|    |  |  |

28

WHEREAS the electronic discovery vendor expects to complete the processing on a

| rolling basis in no more than 45 days, and the documents will thereafter be reviewed by BayTSI   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| and its customers for privilege and responsiveness, and thereafter produced as appropriate;  |  |  |
| therefore  |  |  |
| 4 IT IS HEREBY AGREED THAT:  |  |  |
| The deadline by which BayTSP must produce documents and a private the second of the se | rilege log   |  |
| related to the non-Viacom entities is hereby extended from September 15, 2009 to October 15,   |  |  |
| 2009, provided that BayTSP produces responsive documents on a rolling basis as they become   |  |  |
| available.   |  |  |
| 2. The parties will negotiate further extensions of this deadline in good  | I faith, if  |  |
| 0 necessary.   |  |  |
| 3. BayTSP will provide YouTube with periodic status updates on the quantity of   |  |  |
| documents BayTSP has reviewed and produced and the quantity of unreviewed documents remaining.   |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 5 and Google Inc.  | be, LLC  |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| A. John P. Mancini ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )   |  |  |
| 8 1675 Broadway  |  |  |
| Telephone: (212) 506-2500  |  |  |
| $0 \parallel$  |  |  |
| 1 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &  | k ROSATI   |  |
| Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050   |  |  |
| Facsimile: (650) 493-9300<br>Facsimile: (650) 565-5100   |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |
| 8  |  |  |
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>0<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>0<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7  | and its customers for privilege and responsiveness, and thereafter produced as applitherefore  IT IS HEREBY AGREED THAT:  1. The deadline by which BayTSP must produce documents and a privilege and responsive documents and a privilege according to the non-Viacom entities is hereby extended from September 15, 2009 to Occ 2009, provided that BayTSP produces responsive documents on a rolling basis as they available.  2. The parties will negotiate further extensions of this deadline in good necessary.  3. BayTSP will provide YouTube with periodic status updates on the quadocuments BayTSP has reviewed and produced and the quantity of unreviewed degree remaining.  AGREED and STIPULATED  Attorneys for YouTube, Inc., YouTube, |  |

## Case5:08-mc-80211-JF Document36 Filed09/28/09 Page4 of 4

| 1        |   |  |
|----------|---|--|
| 2        | AGREED and STIPULATED Attorneys for BayTSP, Inc.                          |  |
| 3        | September 14, 2009  |  |
| 4        | By: /s/ Philip M. Kelly   |  |
| 5        | Richard B. Kendall<br>Philip M. Kelly                                     |  |
| 6        | KENDALL BRILL & KLIEGER LLP<br>10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1725   |  |
| 7        | Los Angeles, California 90067<br>Telephone: (310) 556-2700                |  |
| 8        | Facsimile: (310) 556-2705   |  |
| 9        | signatories' concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained |  |
| 10       | [PROPOSED] ORDER  |  |
| 11       | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.                                |  |
| 12       | Dated: September 28, 2009   |  |
| 13       | Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull United States Magistrate Judge             |  |
| 14       |   |  |
| 15       |   |  |
| 16       |   |  |
| 17<br>18 |   |  |
| 19       |   |  |
| 20       |   |  |
| 21       |   |  |
| 22       |   |  |
| 23       |   |  |
| 24       |   |  |
| 25       |   |  |
| 26       |   |  |
| 27       |   |  |
| 28       |   |  |
|          | -3-   |  |